EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA

MOREHOUSE ENTERPRISES, LLC, D/B/A BRIDGE CITY ORDNANCE *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 3:22-cv-00116-PDW-ARS

BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES et al.,

Defendants.

AMICI CURIAE BRIEF OF GUN OWNERS FOR SAFETY AND INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF GUN OWNERS FOR SAFETY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY AND/OR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Gun Owners for Safety is a voluntary coalition of gun owners that does not have any corporate parent and does not issue stock, so no publicly held corporation holds 10% or more of its stock. Gun Owners for Safety is supported by Giffords—the gun safety organization co-founded and led by former Congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords—and the employees of Giffords. Giffords also has no corporate parent and does not issue stock, so no publicly held corporation holds 10% or more of its stock.

No counsel for a party authored any part of this brief. No one other than amici curiae, its members, or its counsel financed the preparation or submission of this brief.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page	
INTE	REST	OF AM	MICI CURIAE	1	
INTR	CODUC	TION.		5	
ARG	UMEN	T		7	
I.	REGU	JLATO	ORY HISTORY	7	
II.	SCRATCH BUILDS ARE NOT AFFECTED BY THE RULE10				
	A.	Backs	ground on Scratch Builds	10	
	B.	The R	Rule Has No Impact on Scratch Builds	13	
III.	KIT BUILDS ARE ONLY MINIMALLY AFFECTED BY THE RULE 15				
	A.	Back	ground on Kit Builds	16	
	B.		Rule Has No Effect on Kit Builds With Fully Manufactured, lized Frames or Receivers and Will Minimally Affect 80% Kits	19	
		1.	The Rule does not affect kit builds with fully machined, serialized frames or receivers.	20	
		2.	The Rule merely requires that kits with 80% frames or receivers comply with the same federal firearms regulations as kits utilizing fully machined frames or receivers.	20	
CON	CLUSI	ON		23	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
California v. Blackhawk Manufacturing Group Inc., No. CGC-21-594577 (Cal. Super. Ct. Aug. 18, 2021)	18, 19, 21
Division 80 LLC v. Garland, No. 3:22-cv-00148 (S.D. Tex. July 8, 2022), ECF No. 26-1	5
STATUTES	
18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3) § 923(a)	
Gun Control Act of 1968	7, 8, 17
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
27 C.F.R. § 478.11	8
87 Fed. Reg. at 24,652	21
87 Fed. Reg. 24,652, 24,656 (published April 26, 2022) (to be codified at 27 C.F.R. pts. 447, 478, 479)	6, 8, 9
87 Fed. Reg. at 24,688	17
87 Fed. Reg. at 24,739	14, 15
About the Guild, AMERICAN CUSTOM GUNMAKERS GUILD, https://www.acgg.org/index.php/about-acgg/the-guild.html (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	13
Second Amendment	passim
ATF Firearms Tracing Guide, ATF Publication 3312.13, BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES, https://www.atf.gov/firearms/docs/guide/atf-firearms-tracing-guide-atf-p-331213 (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	5
Glossary, SPORTING ARMS AND AMMUNITION MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC., https://saami.org/saami-glossary	7

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

(continued)

P	Page(s)
Glossary, SPORTING ARMS AND AMMUNITION MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC., https://saami.org/saami-glossary/?search=action (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	. 11, 12
Glossary, SPORTING ARMS AND AMMUNITION MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC., https://saami.org/saami-glossary/?search=reciever (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	16
Gunsmithing a Custom Rifle Stock from Scratch: the Step by Step Guide, RICHARD'S MICROFIT STOCKS (Feb. 8, 2021), https://richardsmicrofitgunstocks./gunsmithing-a-custom-rifle-stock-from-scratch-the-step-by-step-guide (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	11
Handgun Parts, 80% LOWERS, https://www.80-lower.com/handgun-parts (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	. 12, 16
How to Build an AR-15 Rifle, MIDWAYUSA, https://www.midwayusa.com/how-to-guides/how-to-build-ar-15-rifle (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	18
Making Guns, SPRINGFIELD ARMORY, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, https://www.nps.gov/spar/learn/historyculture/making-guns.htm (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	12
80 Percent Lower Unfinished Receiver Jig, 80% LOWERS, https://www.80-lower.com/80-lower-jig (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	18
Polymer80 PF940C 80% Compact Pistol Frame and Jig Kit, 80% Lowers, https://.80/products/polymer80-pf940c-80-compact-pistol-frame-and-jig-kit/ (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	18
Rule 2021R-05F	4, 5
Shooting Tips and Tricks, <i>Assembling a 80% 1911</i> (Oct. 21, 2017), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h7OCCPK7qCk (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	19
Understanding Headspace in an AR-15, AT3 TACTICAL, https://www.at3tactical.com///is-headspace-in-an-ar-15-and-how-can-you-check-it-read-on (last visited Aug. 13, 2022)	13

INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE

Amicus curiae *Gun Owners for Safety* is a united coalition of gun owners from all backgrounds and political affiliations who believe lives can be saved through commonsense gun laws that do not infringe upon the civil rights of law-abiding gun owners. With chapters across the country, including in Colorado, Florida, Michigan, Minnesota, Pennsylvania, Texas, and Virginia, we work to prevent gun violence while supporting and protecting Second Amendment rights. Gun Owners for Safety has over 16,000 members, who include experienced gun owners of all trades and hobbies, including law enforcement, military, hunting, sport shooting, collecting, and building guns. Affiliated with Giffords, the gun safety organization co-founded and led by Congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords, who is a gun owner herself, we fully respect the Second Amendment and are simultaneously devoted to encouraging safe and responsible gun ownership practices and promoting a shift in culture to inform Americans about ways to improve safe gun ownership, including commonsense gun laws.

In addition to the Gun Owners for Safety coalition, individual members of the coalition—Jason Perry, Jonathan Gold, and Scott Spreier—are also amici curiae and signatories to this brief. These three individuals, each of whom holds a leadership position with Gun Owners for Safety or one of its chapters, hail from all different walks of life, different regions of the country, and different personal beliefs, but they are united in their dedication to promoting safe and responsible gun ownership practices consistent with their Second Amendment rights.

Jason Perry is the Deputy Engagement Director for Gun Owners for Safety at Giffords. Originally from Kentucky, Mr. Perry has been a gunowner for over 20 years, previously had a license to carry concealed firearms and other deadly weapons in Kentucky, and spent several years competing in International Defensive Pistol Association ("IDPA") sporting events. Mr. Perry served 12 years as a firefighter and paramedic in Kentucky where he treated many victims of gun violence. After an injury cut his firefighting career short, Mr. Perry graduated from college and moved to Washington, D.C. to continue his career in public service. Prior to moving to Washington, D.C., Mr. Perry built firearms from gun kits that included imported, torched receivers, that were replaced with serialized parts, purchased through an entity licensed to engage in the firearm business (referred to as a federal firearms license or "FFL").

Mr. Perry came to work for Gun Owners for Safety in May 2022 and has overseen exponential growth in membership with over 1,000 new members from across the country joining. Mr. Perry has organized a variety of events emphasizing gun safety, lobbied before Congress, and spearheaded a training program that prepares Gun Owners for Safety ambassadors to share credible and accurate information about safe and responsible gun ownership practices with their communities.

Jonathan Gold, who resides in Novi, Michigan, is a Senior Ambassador for Gun Owners for Safety. Mr. Gold has owned firearms for over three decades and served as a firearms instructor for nearly as long. He is recognized as a subject matter expert on firearms and continues to teach private firearm lessons—specifically highlighting safety, handling, and marksmanship. Mr. Gold's work has included training sessions for Boy

Scouts of America. Mr. Gold has been involved with working to identify perpetrators of crime, understanding the importance of serializing guns to trace firearms used in crimes to the perpetrator, and also the difficulty in solving crimes when the firearm lacks a serial number. Mr. Gold has personally built competition rifles—a competition 22 with a Ruger frame, an AR-15, and an AK-41—all using serialized parts from an FFL.

Mr. Gold has led the Gun Owners for Safety chapter in Michigan since March 2020. This chapter, which includes many members who are regular hunters, coordinates various events and activities to promote responsible gun ownership, such as designing and teaching educational courses on gun safety, tabling at various community events, and partnering with other agencies to promote other educational programs.

Scott Spreier also serves as a Senior Ambassador for Gun Owners for Safety in Dallas, Texas. Mr. Spreier has been a firearm owner for over 30 years and hunts for sport. Mr. Spreier grew up in western Kansas as the son of farmers and ranchers where guns were tools. After serving in the Air Force, Mr. Spreier became a licensed firearm instructor in order to help train his sons and their fellow troop members through the Boy Scouts. Mr. Spreier is a published author on the subject of gun safety and commonsense gun laws.

Mr. Spreier has supported Giffords for several years and in April of 2020 joined the Gun Owners for Safety program at the inception of the Texas chapter. The Texas chapter participates in community events, hosting informational booths and tables to provide practical, nonpartisan, educated, and commonsense information about firearms. Mr. Spreier's goal is to educate as many individuals as possible—across all races, ethnicities,

and political affiliations—by providing credible sources from credible people to balance the misinformation often spread on the issue of gun ownership rights.

All three of the individual amici are passionate about the importance of serialization of firearms. They recognize that the serial number is a key tool for law enforcement to fight crime and domestic violence. In many circumstances, the ability to trace gun ownership through the serial number is the key step of an investigation to ultimately bring justice and closure for the families of victims of gun violence.

Gun Owners for Safety's purpose in filing this amicus brief is to provide to this Court the same credible information from credible sources about the impact of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives Final Rule 2021R-05F from an organization whose members fully support the protection of Second Amendment rights but are equally concerned about enacting commonsense gun laws that promote safe and legal use of firearms and aid law enforcement in fighting crime. In addition, Gun Owners for Safety is joined in this matter by three of its members who seek to add the weight of their voices to this issue. Amici also note that this case involves parties with facially similar names as Gun Owners for Safety: Gun Owners of America and Gun Owners Foundation. Amici are only members of Gun Owners for Safety. They are unaffiliated with the plaintiff organizations and do not share the views of those organizations—amici are gun owners who favor commonsense firearm regulations consistent with their Second Amendment rights.

Gun Owners for Safety previously filed a similar amicus brief in a related case, which that court accepted and was unopposed by all parties. *See* Brief of Amicus Curiae

Gun Owners for Safety, *Division 80 LLC v. Garland*, No. 3:22-cv-00148 (S.D. Tex. July 8, 2022), ECF No. 26-1; Order Granting Motion for Leave to File, *Division 80 LLC v. Garland*, No. 3:22-cv-00148, ECF No. 38.

INTRODUCTION

This amicus brief is intended to help the Court understand how the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives ("ATF") Final Rule 2021R-05F, entitled Definition of "Frame or Receiver" and Identification of Firearms (the "Rule"), will impact law-abiding gun owners who build their own firearms at home.

ATF promulgated the Rule in part to address the rapidly growing threat posed by untraceable firearms, often referred to as "ghost guns." Traditionally, firearms offered for sale in the United States are marked with a serial number by a manufacturer or importer that holds a federal firearms license ("FFL"). These FFLs are also required to maintain records of their transactions, which together with the serial number enable a law enforcement process called "tracing." Tracing provides a critical investigatory tool that connects crime guns back to their first retail purchaser. *See generally* ATF Firearms Tracing Guide, ATF Publication 3312.13, BUREAU OF ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, FIREARMS AND EXPLOSIVES, https://www.atf.gov/firearms/docs/guide/atf-firearms-tracing-guide-atf-p-331213 (last visited Aug. 13, 2022).

Ghost guns disrupt this traditional process: rather than selling a completed gun, companies sell guns as kits in partially completed form, and take the position that these kits are not "firearms" under federal law and therefore not subject to background check, serialization, and record-keeping requirements. Indeed, Plaintiff Morehouse Enterprises,

LLC d/b/a Bridge City Ordnance ("Bridge City") "acquires" and "performs gunsmithing work" on "privately made firearms" without marking them with serial numbers. *See* Am. Compl. ¶4b, ECF No. 22. The guns made from these kits lack serial numbers and therefore cannot be traced by law enforcement. Since 2016, there has been a stunning increase in the number of these untraceable ghost guns used in crime.¹

Faced with the Rule, which clarifies that the practices used by Bridge City are not exempt from federal gun laws, Plaintiffs have filed a motion for a preliminary and/or permanent injunction, claiming that law-abiding gun owners will be prevented from completing at-home builds under the Rule, that the scope of the Rule is "massive," and that "[p]rotected Second Amendment activity will grind to a halt." Pls.' Mem. in Supp. of Mot. for Prelim. and/or Permanent Inj. ("Pls'. Mot.") at 1-2, 27, ECF No. 14-1.² These claims are baseless, as the Rule will have only a minimal impact on at-home builds. We know this because we have built our own firearms, and we understand the different processes one can use to make a gun at home. From the perspective of builders who respect and seek to comply with federal gun laws, Gun Owners for Safety and the individual amici respectfully

¹ ATF records show that, from January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2021, over 45,000 privately made, un-serialized firearms were "reported to ATF as having been recovered by law enforcement from potential crime scenes, including 692 homicides or attempted homicides (not including suicides)," and that this total number reflects an annual increase each year. Definition of "Frame or Receiver" and Identification of Firearms, 87 Fed. Reg. 24,652, 24,656 (published April 26, 2022) (to be codified at 27 C.F.R. pts. 447, 478, 479) (noting that 1,758 such firearms were recorded in 2016; 2,552 in 2017; 3,960 in 2018; 7,517 in 2019; 10,109 in 2020; and 19,344 in 2021).

² All citations to ECF documents are to the internal pagination of the document, not the ECF pagination.

submit that the Rule will have only a minor impact on law-abiding individuals making a gun at home.

Most methods of at-home builds—such as building a gun from scratch, building a historical replica, or assembling a gun with components that are already fully manufactured—are completely unaffected by the Rule. The *sole* at-home build that is affected by the Rule is an "80%" kit build: the process of completing a firearm from partially manufactured components, as further described in Section III.A, below. Such kit builds previously have been sold as if federal law on the sale of guns did not apply to them. The Rule aligns these kit builds with kit builds already subject to federal firearms laws.

In short, Plaintiffs' concern about individuals being foreclosed from privately making guns, or prevented from crafting guns as the Founders did, and Plaintiffs' claims underlying its emergency motion for a preliminary injunction, are unfounded. The motion should be denied.

ARGUMENT

I. REGULATORY HISTORY

Under the Gun Control Act of 1968 ("the Act"), a "frame" or "receiver" is the only component of a gun (in addition to the gun itself) that qualifies as a "firearm." This is because the Act defines a "firearm" as "(A) any weapon (including a starter gun) which

³ As explained more fully below in Section III.A, the frame or receiver is "[t]he basic unit of a firearm" that houses firing control components—*i.e.*, the component that houses the mechanisms necessary for a firearm to actually fire. The "receiver" is the component used in the case of long guns and the "frame" is used in the case of handguns. *See* Glossary, SPORTING ARMS AND AMMUNITION MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC., https://saami.org/saami-glossary (last visited Aug. 13, 2022).

will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive; (B) *the frame or receiver of any such weapon*; (C) any firearm muffler or firearm silencer; or (D) any destructive device." 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3) (emphasis added).

In 1968 ATF promulgated regulations regarding the Act. As relevant here, ATF adopted regulations to implement the Act. The 1968 regulation defined a "firearm" as: "Any weapon, including a starter gun, which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive; the frame or receiver of any such weapon; any firearm muffler or firearm silencer; or any destructive device; but the term shall not include an antique firearm. In the case of a licensed collector, the term shall mean only curios and relics." 27 C.F.R. § 478.11. And it defined a "frame or receiver" as: "That part of a firearm which provides housing for the hammer, bolt or breechblock, and firing mechanism, and which is usually threaded at its forward portion to receive the barrel." *Id.*

The Rule that is at issue in this case, adopted April 26, 2022, and effective on August 24, 2022, adds the following language to the definition of a "firearm":

The term shall include a weapon parts kit that is designed to or may readily be completed, assembled, restored, or otherwise converted to expel a projectile by the action of an explosive. The term shall not include a weapon, including a weapon parts kit, in which the frame or receiver of such weapon is destroyed as described in the definition of "frame or receiver."

Definition of "Frame or Receiver" and Identification of Firearms, 87 Fed. Reg. 24,652, at 24,735 (published April 26, 2022) (to be codified at 27 C.F.R. pts. 447, 478, 479). Further,

the definition of "frame or receiver" has been updated in the Rule. In relevant part, the Rule provides that "frame or receiver":

[S]hall include a *partially complete*, disassembled, or nonfunctional frame or receiver, including a frame or receiver parts kit, that is designed to or may readily be completed, assembled, restored, or otherwise converted to function as a frame or receiver, i.e., to house or provide a structure for the primary energized component of a handgun, breech blocking or sealing component of a projectile weapon other than a handgun, or internal sound reduction component of a firearm muffler or firearm silencer, as the case may be. The terms shall not include a forging, casting, printing, extrusion, unmachined body, or similar article that has not yet reached a stage of manufacture where it is clearly identifiable as an unfinished component part of a weapon (e.g., unformed block of metal, liquid polymer, or other raw material). When issuing a classification, the Director may consider any associated templates, jigs, molds, equipment, tools, instructions, guides, or marketing materials that are sold, distributed, or possessed with the item or kit, or otherwise made available by the seller or distributor of the item or kit to the purchaser or recipient of the item or kit.

Id. at 24,739 (emphases added). To clarify what is meant by "readily," the Rule includes eight factors that will help ATF to determine whether something is "readily" converted or assembled, including the time, ease, expertise, equipment, availability of parts, expense, scope of the project, and feasibility of the process. *Id.* at 24,663.

The Rule also adds and defines a new term: "privately made firearm" ("PMF"). A PMF is "a firearm, including a frame or receiver, completed, assembled, or otherwise produced by a person other than a licensed manufacturer, and without a serial number or other identifying marking placed by a licensed manufacturer at the time the firearm was produced." *Id.* at 24,664. If a PMF maker, however, seeks to have the PMF enter the marketplace, it must meet the Act's other licensing and serial number requirements. *Id.*

II. SCRATCH BUILDS ARE NOT AFFECTED BY THE RULE

As law-abiding gun owners, one of our biggest concerns is that Plaintiffs conflate the raw materials used by gun artisans with 80% frames or receivers, which are by definition *partially finished* frames or receivers for a gun. *See* Pls.' Mot. at 2, 21, 25; *see also* Am. Compl. ¶ 214; Section II, *infra*. Plaintiffs also discount the continuing practice of building guns from scratch, by focusing instead on gun owners who assemble guns from parts. *See* Pls.' Mot. at 2; Eliezer Jimenez Aff., ECF No. 1-60. The confusion caused by Plaintiffs' allegations suggests, at best, an unfamiliarity with the craft of gun building, or, of even more concern, a deliberate conflating of issues.

We seek to clarify for the Court the rich traditions of guns built from scratch. When evaluated with a full understanding of the different ways guns can be made and the limited impact the Rule will have, Plaintiffs' concern about individuals being foreclosed from privately making guns as the Founders did at the time of the American Revolution is alleviated, as such at-home scratch builds remain unaffected by the Rule.

A. Background on Scratch Builds

Crafting a gun from scratch with raw materials is called a "scratch build." This process—often utilized by artisans—begins with "raw stock." Raw stock consists of blocks of wood, metal, or other raw materials that have no pre-shaping, milling, or manufacturing. The particular material is carefully selected by the gun maker depending on the type and style of gun being built.

The entirety of the scratch build process takes considerably more time and skill than starting with partially finished components (which is how we build guns with 80% kits, as

discussed further in Section III.A, below). See, e.g., Gunsmithing a Custom Rifle Stock from Scratch: the Step by Step Guide, RICHARD'S MICROFIT STOCKS (Feb. 8, 2021), https://richardsmicrofitgunstocks.com/gunsmithing-a-custom-rifle-stock-from-scratchthe-step-by-step-guide (last visited Aug. 13, 2022) ("Gunsmithing a Custom Rifle Stock"). By way of example, artisans crafting a rifle from scratch begin with a "hardwood blank," which is a block of hardwood. *Id.* The artisan then designs their rifle stock—i.e., the component to which the metal parts of a firearm are attached to enable the shooter to hold the firearm—from scratch. From there, the next step is to "inlet[] the action," which is when the maker ensures that the stock fits with the combination of the frame and receiver of the gun. *Id.*; see also Glossary, SPORTING ARMS AND AMMUNITION MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC., https://saami.org/saami-glossary/?search=action (last visited Aug. 13, 2022). This step requires precision carpentry tools and woodworking skills "that might be a stretch for beginner carpenters. . . . It is [a] high labor, time, and skill-intensive task." Gunsmithing a Custom Rifle Stock. Even more demanding, however, is the next step shaping the blank to conform to the preferred rifle stock design. *Id.* The blank must be carved into a recognizable stock form, "fine-tuning the rifle to [one's] shooting style." *Id.* The third step, finishing the stock, "involves sanding, whiskering, and applying an oil finish." *Id.* This step is "the most time-intensive portion of the gunsmithing process." *Id.* One way to sand the stock is to use "80-grit sandpaper, by wrapping the sandpaper around a solid wood block and rubbing back-and-forth in the same direction as the wood grain." *Id.* "Whiskering" the stock involves wiping the stock with a wet rag, then using "a heat gun or hairdryer to rapidly dry the wood" and then "[r]epeat[ing] this process three or four times before using mineral oil to soak the wood." *Id*.

The entirety of the scratch build process typically requires significant hours to achieve the craftsman's desired finish or level of detail. It also involves the sourcing of different materials and parts that are not preselected or curated by a vendor, unlike gunmaking that involves the use of fully or partially machined parts. *Compare id.*, *with* discussion *infra* Section III.A, *and Handgun Parts*, 80% LOWERS, https://www.80-lower.com/handgun-parts (last visited Aug. 13, 2022).

As another example, the building of a musket from scratch requires highly specialized knowledge and skill. Three metal-shaping processes can be used in crafting a musket: forging, casting, and stamping. *Making Guns*, SPRINGFIELD ARMORY, NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, https://www.nps.gov/spar/learn/historyculture/making-guns.htm (last visited Aug. 13, 2022). "Forging is the process of heating metals and hammering them into a desired shape. It is the technique used by the blacksmith, and indeed the early gunmakers used many of the blacksmith's tools in their trade." *Id.* Smaller parts "that would otherwise involve many machining steps" could be "cast" by pouring molten steel into a plaster cavity. *Id.* The process of "stamping" or "bending" to shape non-critical parts such as the trigger guard was used in lieu of the more expensive option of machining. *Id.*

A scratch build of a more contemporary weapon is an even more difficult and timeconsuming process. As an example, the scratch build of an AR pattern firearm will require that specific tolerances are met to ensure appropriate lockup between the round, chamber, bolt face, bolt carrier, and recoil gas tube interface occurs safely and repeatably. *See Understanding Headspace in an AR-15*, AT3 TACTICAL, https://www.at3tactical.com/blogs/news/what-is-headspace-in-an-ar-15-and-how-can-you-check-it-read-on (last visited Aug. 13, 2022). To ensure the hammer strikes the firing pin, when and only when the bolt has securely locked a live round into battery, requires expensive and specialized tools such as mills, files, headspace gauges, and micrometers not often found in the toolboxes of the average gun owner. *See id*.

As gun owners, including those of us who ourselves are scratch build artisans and craftsmen, we wish to emphasize for the Court that, from our perspective, the scratch build community does not use partially manufactured frames or receivers. The scratch build community makes its frames and receivers from scratch—from raw materials such as steel billets or stock blanks. And for this reason, as described below, the Rule has no impact on us.

B. The Rule Has No Impact on Scratch Builds

As the law currently stands (*i.e.*, prior to the Rule taking effect), gun artisans performing a scratch build are not subject to the same regulations as a firearm purchaser or manufacturer, as they are not required to undergo a background check. Additionally, those who craft guns from scratch generally are not in the business of selling firearms and thus do not need to obtain an FFL. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 923(a) ("No person shall engage in the business of importing, manufacturing, or dealing in firearms, or importing or manufacturing ammunition, until he has filed an application with and received a license to do so from the Attorney General."); *see also About the Guild*, AMERICAN CUSTOM

GUNMAKERS GUILD, https://www.acgg.org/index.php/about-acgg/the-guild.html (last visited Aug. 13, 2022) (explaining that the Guild serves to form a community of artisans interested in "the exchange of ideas concerning their craft"). Moreover, replicas of historical weapons (manufactured before 1898) are considered "antique firearms" and thus do not qualify as "firearms" for the purposes of FFL licensing requirements. See 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3) (specifying that the term "firearm" does not include an "antique firearm"); id. § 921(a)(16) (defining "antique firearm").

In short, before the updates of the Rule, scratch builds fell outside the ambit of regulations governing the manufacturing or purchasing of firearms. And with the Rule, scratch builds remain outside the ambit of regulations governing firearm manufacturers or purchasers. Contrary to Plaintiffs' assertion that the Rule "mak[es] it difficult (if not impossible) for the average, law-abiding gun owner to manufacture a homemade firearm," Pls.' Mot. at 2, the Rule in no way impacts, affects, or regulates scratch builds. Rather, the Rule now solely (and minimally) affects a "partially complete, disassembled, or nonfunctional frame or receiver, including a frame or receiver parts kit," as discussed further in Section III.B, below. Definition of "Frame or Receiver" and Identification of Firearms, 87 Fed. Reg. at 24,739 (emphasis added). The Rule further clarifies its lack of regulation over scratch builds by stating that the terms "frame or receiver" "shall not include a forging, casting, printing, extrusion, unmachined body, or similar article that has

⁴ Importantly, even under current law (prior to the Rule), if an artisan or craftsman sought to "engage in the business" of "dealing in firearms," he or she would be required to apply and obtain a license to do so. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 923(a).

not yet reached a stage of manufacture where it is clearly identifiable as an unfinished component part of a weapon (e.g., unformed block of metal, liquid polymer, or other raw material)." Id. (emphasis added); see also id. at 24,653 ("[T]he final rule makes clear that articles that have not yet reached a stage of manufacture where they are clearly identifiable as an unfinished component of a frame or receiver (e.g., unformed blocks of metal, liquid polymers, or other raw materials) are not frames or receivers."). Thus, Plaintiffs' assertion that "the Final Rule will have widespread and deleterious effects on the firearms community" (Pls.' Mot. at 1) exaggerates the limited scope of the Rule. Those performing scratch builds today—including those creating replicas of weapons once used in the American Revolution—are subject to no more regulation under the Rule than they currently are. Compare Pls.' Mot. at 3 n.2, with Definition of "Frame or Receiver" and Identification of Firearms, 87 Fed. Reg. at 24,739.

In short, a frame or receiver made with raw materials, as we do in scratch builds, through "forging, casting, printing, extrusion," is unaffected by the Rule.

III. KIT BUILDS ARE ONLY MINIMALLY AFFECTED BY THE RULE

Similar to Plaintiffs' misunderstanding of the Rule's impact on scratch builds, Plaintiffs overstate the Rule's effect on kit builds. Plaintiffs broadly speak in terms of "assemblages of currently unregulated firearm parts," suggesting that the ATF has "target[ed]" these kits "for elimination." Pls.' Mot. at 2. Kits with fully machined parts, however, have long been regulated. Yet, Plaintiffs ignore these existing requirements. Plaintiffs' claims that "[p]rotected Second Amendment activity will grind to a halt, numerous companies will falter or go out of business, and jobs will be lost" betray a

fundamental misunderstanding of the Rule's impact on kit builds. Pls.' Mot. at 27. The Rule merely aligns kits with partially machined parts so that they are subject to the same requirements as kits with fully machined parts. Thus, contrary to Plaintiffs' assertions, the Rule minimally impacts only one kind of kit build.

A. Background on Kit Builds

"Kit builds" refer to building guns from manufactured or partially manufactured components, as opposed to raw materials. The components can be sold together as part of a kit, or the components can be sold individually. For either approach, the manufactured or partially manufactured components amount to a "kit" from which the builder can assemble a gun. "No experience is required to master one of these build projects," and "easy-to-follow instructions" are often provided. *Handgun Parts*, 80% LOWERS, https://www.80-lower.com/handgun-parts (last visited Aug. 13, 2022).

Contemporary firearms are built around a key component generally called the "receiver" in the case of long guns or the "frame" in the case of handguns. As noted above in Section I, the frame or receiver is "[t]he basic unit of a firearm" which houses firing control components. Glossary, Sporting Arms And Ammunition Manufacturers' Institute, Inc., https://saami.org/saami-glossary/?search=reciever (last visited Aug. 13, 2022). In other words, the frame or receiver is the component of a firearm that houses the mechanisms necessary for a firearm to actually fire. Frames and receivers are often made of aluminum or steel, but in more recent times, these components can also be made out of a polymer, akin to a heavy-duty plastic, such as firearms produced by Glock, Bushmaster,

and Smith & Wesson. See Definition of "Frame or Receiver" and Identification of Firearms, 87 Fed. Reg. at 24,688.

The frames or receivers purchased for kit builds can be fully manufactured and operational, or they can be partially manufactured—what is known as a "receiver blank," "unfinished receiver," or "80%" frame or receiver—which requires additional machining, typically a minimal amount, before it can be used as a functional frame or receiver.

When a fully manufactured and functional frame or receiver is purchased, it is serialized, and it can be used to assemble a firearm without any further machining. Fully machined frames and receivers have been defined as "firearms" since the Gun Control Act and its accompanying regulations were enacted in 1968, and accordingly all federal laws applicable to firearms have applied to fully manufactured frames and receivers as well.

An 80% frame or receiver, on the other hand, has not been fully machined; it is sold in a nearly complete form, but requires additional machining—usually a minimal amount of drilling and milling—to become a functional frame or receiver. Historically, ATF has not considered 80% receivers and frames to be within the statutory definition of "firearm," and accordingly those making and selling 80% receivers and frames have not been subject to the federal laws applicable to the sale of firearms, such as licensing, background check, serialization, and record-keeping requirements.

80% kits include not only the 80% frame or receiver, but often the other components necessary to make a functioning firearm: barrels, trunnions, springs, pins, slides (in

pistols), uppers (in long guns), and sights.⁵ Along with these component parts, "80%" retailers also often include a "jig." The jig is a specialized piece of steel, aluminum, or polymer that assists the builder in the machining process by clamping around the frame or receiver blank and contains holes in specific locations to guide the builder's process. Jigs make the at-home building process more user-friendly, even foolproof. Some retailers sell the jig with the 80% frame or receiver; others sell the jig separately. Jigs are often reusable. This means that future kits purchased by an individual can be readily completed with a jig already owned by and familiar to the purchaser. *E.g.*, 80 Percent Lower Unfinished Receiver Jig, 80% LOWERS, https://www.80-lower.com/80-lower-jig (last visited Aug. 13, 2022).

Retailers also often provide detailed information for gun builders about what tools will be required for machining, instructions giving step-by-step guidance on how to complete an 80% frame or receiver, and tutorial videos for how to use their jigs and assemble the kits. *See, e.g.*, How to Build an AR-15 Rifle, MIDWAYUSA, https://www.midwayusa.com/how-to-guides/how-to-build-ar-15-rifle (last visited Aug. 13, 2022); Polymer80 PF940C 80% Compact Pistol Frame and Jig Kit, 80% Lowers, https://www.80-lower.com/products/polymer80-pf940c-80-compact-pistol-frame-and-jig-kit/ (last visited Aug. 13, 2022) (providing a link to download a tool list and instructions).

⁵ For example, a kit available from MDX Arms—an online seller of rifle components and accessories—included: frame blank, jig, trigger and trigger housing, drill bits, an unfinished slide and parts to complete it, frame rails, magazine release, take-down lever, slide stop, barrel, and recoil spring. *See* Decl. of Inspector Eric Tejada in Supp. of Pl. People of the State of California's Mot. for a Prelim. Inj. at 5, *California v. Blackhawk Manufacturing Group Inc.*, No. CGC-21-594577 (Cal. Super. Ct. Aug. 18, 2021) ("Tejada Decl.").

Moreover, videos are now readily available online, providing step-by-step visual instructions on machining an 80% frame or receiver into a completed frame or receiver ready for use. These online videos are user-friendly and receive substantial foot traffic. They show the various levels of ease and available tools for completing the frames or receivers—from hand-held routers and basic drills to more sophisticated, automated mills. *See, e.g.*, Shooting Tips and Tricks, *Assembling a 80% 1911* (Oct. 21, 2017), https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h7OCCPK7qCk (last visited Aug. 13, 2022). In some cases, after watching online instructions and using a drill, rotary tool, hammer, punch, file, clamp, pliers, and a utility knife, a gun maker starting with a polymer 80% frame can complete the entire process from kit to completed firearm in less than half an hour. Tejada Decl. at 6-7.

B. The Rule Has No Effect on Kit Builds With Fully Manufactured, Serialized Frames or Receivers and Will Minimally Affect 80% Kits

As previously discussed, frames or receivers are necessary for a gun to be capable of expelling a projectile, and there are two options for purchasing frames or receivers when completing a kit build: (1) a fully manufactured, serialized, and operational frame or receiver or (2) an 80% frame or receiver. As demonstrated below, with respect to kit builds, the Rule has minimal impact: fully machined frames or receivers already are regulated, and thus the Rule does not impact kits that include such frames or receivers; and kits that include 80% frames or receivers will simply be treated in the same manner as kits with fully machined, serialized frames or receivers.

1. The Rule does not affect kit builds with fully machined, serialized frames or receivers.

Currently, individuals purchasing a fully machined frame or receiver for a kit build (or even without a kit) must comply with federal firearms law: the frame or receiver must be serialized by the manufacturer, purchased through an FFL, and in that sale the purchaser must complete a Form 4473 (ATF Firearm Transaction Record) and undergo a background check—the exact same procedure legal gun owners undertake to purchase a fully operational firearm. See 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(3). We, as well as other at-home gun makers, have long utilized this process to make guns. Through FFLs, we purchase kits that include fully machined frames or receivers, which we receive only after undergoing a background check (just like we do when we buy a fully functional firearm); and the frame or receiver is identified by a serial number on it. Indeed, this process is required for purchase of a fully machined frame or receiver, regardless of whether it is included in a kit or sold as a These requirements—that at-home gun makers undergo a standalone part. See id. background check and receive a serialized frame or receiver—are precisely those that apply to the purchase of a completed gun, and are not burdensome. Plaintiffs, however, fail to acknowledge these existing requirements for at-home builds—requirements that we have followed for decades without impeding our ability to build guns at home.

2. The Rule merely requires that kits with 80% frames or receivers comply with the same federal firearms regulations as kits utilizing fully machined frames or receivers.

The only change resulting from the Rule is that guns made from kits with partially machined frames or receivers will now be covered by the same federal firearm laws that

apply to kits with fully machined frames or receivers. This adjusted definition merely brings the regulation in harmony with the present-day reality of the capabilities of the firearms industry and individual gun makers.

As ATF has explained, "technological advances have also made it easier for companies to sell firearm parts kits, standalone frame or receiver parts, and easy-to-complete frames or receivers to unlicensed persons, without maintaining any records or conducting a background check." Definition of "Frame or Receiver" and Identification of Firearms, 87 Fed. Reg. at 24,652. These products "enable individuals to make firearms quickly and easily." *Id*.

These technological advancements and marketplace developments left ATF's guidance out of date with the practices of manufacturers and gun makers, allowing for gaps that made it easier for traffickers or other prohibited individuals to obtain firearms. The result is that the streets have been flooded with untraceable firearms, commonly referred to as "ghost guns." *See id.* at 24,652.

By recognizing that its guidance was out of date with the practices of manufacturers and gun makers, ATF has eliminated a loophole that has been exploited to the disadvantage of law-abiding gun owners, gun makers, and the public. ATF has simply exercised its rulemaking power to address the evolving marketplace of firearms to require compliance with the minimal measures that all legal gun owners already undertake when purchasing fully manufactured firearms or fully machined frames or receivers.

As gun owners who value and support the rights protected by the Second Amendment, it is important to us that the Rule does not impact the availability of 80% kits

to law-abiding Americans, *and it does not*. In claiming that "companies will be forced to entirely revamp business practices and stop selling products or combinations of products" and that "individuals privately manufacturing homemade firearms using unregulated parts will be unable to purchase all the parts necessary to do so," Plaintiffs obfuscate the minimal effect of the Rule on law-abiding gun owners. Pls.' Mot. at 25. Under the Rule, the purchase and utilization of 80% kits will still be readily available to law-abiding citizens. The only individuals impacted are those who would not qualify to legally purchase a completed firearm, frame, or receiver, or those traffickers who seek to illegally resell these weapons. In other words, any individual who can pass a background check will still be permitted to utilize an 80% kit.

* * * * * * * *

We regularly purchase firearms through FFLs and pass background checks in the process. As we stated, we have previously built guns at home with the purchase of a fully machined, serialized frame or receiver, rather than an 80% kit, in compliance with federal background check, serialization, and record-keeping requirements. These requirements are not burdensome, and did not significantly impact our at-home builds. What is more, they are critical, commonsense requirements that reduce gun violence by keeping guns out of the hands of people who should not have them.

Plaintiffs inflate the stakes of the Rule, and the impact it will have on at-home gun makers, in large part through an inaccurate conflating of scratch builds with kit builds. *See* Am. Compl. ¶ 214; Pls.' Mot. at 2, 21. But as our brief has shown, scratch and kit builds are different. The Rule recognizes these distinctions and leaves unregulated the scratch

build gun-maker. Plaintiffs also overstate the impact of the Rule on kit builds, failing to fairly acknowledge the existence of requirements already in place for kits made from fully machined frames or receivers, and that the Rule simply aligns the regulations with changes in the gun industry that have made it easy to build guns from partially manufactured parts.

Taking into account these different processes for building guns, the lack of any changes to the treatment of scratch builds, and preexisting requirements for kit builds made from fully machined frames and receivers, the Rule simply does not impose new restrictions or regulations not already applicable to gun makers and purchasers in similar contexts. It merely aligns gun-making from 80% frames and receivers with gun-making from fully machined frames and receivers.

Accordingly, the Rule will prevent the dangerous proliferation of untraceable ghost guns, particularly by those who could not otherwise legally own a firearm, without unduly impeding our ability to make our own guns.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and the reasons stated in Defendants' Opposition, Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary and/or Permanent Injunction should be denied.

Respectfully submitted, on August 15, 2022.

/s/ Lisa Beane

Lisa Beane

JONES DAY 90 South Seventh Street **Suite 4950** Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone: (612) 217-8800

Facsimile: (844) 345-3178

Barbara Mack Harding

Attorney-in-Charge

D.C. Bar No. 419250

(pro hac vice pending)

Jennifer L. Swize

D.C. Bar No. 490988

(pro hac vice pending)

Megan E. Ball

D.C. Bar No. 1708286

(pro hac vice pending)

Joseph J. Kiessling

D.C. Bar No. 1630477

(pro hac vice pending)

JONES DAY

51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone: (202) 879-3939

Facsimile: (202) 626-1700

Angela A. Korge

Florida Bar No. 125419

(pro hac vice pending)

JONES DAY

600 Brickell Ave

Suite 3300

Miami, FL 33131

Telephone: (305) 714-9700

Facsimile: (305) 714-9799

Counsel for Amicus Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 15, 2022, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and distribution to all registered participants of the CM/ECF System.

/s/ Lisa Beane____

Lisa Beane